

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/512,909	02/25/2000	Keith Russell Edwards	476-1568.1	7736
7:	590 08/01/2002	· <u>.</u> .		
William M Lee Jr Lee Mann Smith McWilliams Sweeney & Ohlson PO Box 2786			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, HUY D	
Chicago, IL 6	0090-2780		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2684	
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

94

Application No.   Applicant(s)   Dop512,909   EDWARDS ET AL.							
Examiner	· ·		Application No.				
Huy D Nguyen   2884	,		09/512,909	EDWARDS ET AL.			
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estambour of some may be sevilation under the provisions of J CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely flied  Estambour of some may be sevilated above its less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutor period will agric will will be considered timely.  If the period to reply specified above, the maximum statutor period will agric will will be (MONTHS) from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statut rise mailing date of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce using search of the communication of the communication of the communication.  Provided by a foliation is in condition for allowance except for formal malters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  Application of Liams  Application is a subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  Pi The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  Pi The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: a    accepted or b   objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  Priority yider 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).    Application from the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.    Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.    Certified copies of the priority documents have be		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Set of Str. (5) MONTH's board by the proposed of 12 CFR. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed set of the common state of the proposed of 12 CFR. 136(a) in no event, however, may a reply be limely filed set of the common state of the proposed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH's from the maining date of the state			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.7.7.1			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Editeristics of the may be available under the proteins of 37 CFR 1.13(g), In no event, however, may a raply be timely filed after SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of ries communication.  It NO period for raply is specified above, the maximum statutory period stagely and vile graphs (20) Along will be considered timely.  If NO period for raply is specified above, the maximum statutory period stagely and vile graphs (26) Along NOTE of the state of carbinate plants attautory period stagely and vile graphs (26) Along NOTE of the state of carbinate plants attautory period stagely and vile graphs (26) Along NOTE of the state of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any states them relevated by the Side with them there more plants them relevated by the Side with them there more plants them provided by the Side with them there were states and the state of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any states are staged to the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any states are staged and the staged and the states are staged and the staged and th			ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s)	THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any rearne	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s)   -2-1 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)   approved b)   disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority urder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15   Aktachment(s)   Attachment(s)   Attach	1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1−21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Objection of the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
All bill some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  2. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application).  3. Certified copies of the certified copies of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  3. Chrowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  3. Chrowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  3. Chrowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  4. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  4. See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  3. Copies of the certified copies priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  3. The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  3. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4. Interview Summany (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Of □ The Completion from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. OB ☐ ☐ Opies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, ,						
6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Objection from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	vn from consideration.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/977862  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·						
8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)   approved b)   disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. OB		•					
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. OB 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	·	•	r alastian requirement				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Of 19 ☐ 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)		•	election requirement.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. OB 4 1 5 2 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	г.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Of 17, 80.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  11 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  12 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Officeral Indiana Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)	11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/9/1/862  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
13)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. OB 475, 862  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	$\sim I$ .						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/957,862  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/957,862  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) MAII b) Some * c) None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. $08/957$ , $62$					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  11 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
	2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal				

Art Unit: 2684

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8, 9, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 8, 9, 18, and 19 there is no antecedent basis for "the chip rate".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2684

Claim 1, 3, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13, 16, 17, 20, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelton et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,926,503) in view of Patsiokas et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,430,769).

Regarding claim 1, 11, 21, 22 Kelton et al. disclose an input stage 106 of a mobile station 104 including a first antenna 130 for receiving communication signals and producing first signals and a second antenna 132 for receiving communication signals and producing second signals (see Col. 5, lines 66-67 and Col. 6, lines 1-2), a switch 146 (see Col. 6, line 44), a switch control circuit 144 (see Col. 6, line 45). The mobile station 104 comprises an input stage 106, a combiner 116 (see Col. 3, lines 44-47). At step 510, the method includes combining the first signals and the delayed signals to produce combined signals (see Col. 8, line 52-54). At step 512, the method determines if a predetermined criterion is met. The predetermined criterion is any suitable standard or algorithm for determining when the second antenna and delay should be included and the delayed signals combined. This is preferably a function of the estimated channel delay spread profile. When few significant discernible rays are present, the second antenna will be active. When considerable significant discernible rays are present, the second antenna will be removed to prevent increases in intracell interference (see Col. 8, lines 58-67). Kelton et al. do not disclose that the switch operates on a fast switching basis. Patsiokas et al. teach that the switch needs to perform quickly to switch antennas. It would have been obvious to include a switch that performs quickly as disclosed in Patsiokas et al. (see Col. 1, lines 61-62) since that would help the system prevent loss of user communications.

Regarding claims 3, 13 it is obvious that spatial diversity is employed in Kelton et al.'s receiver since there are two antennas installed on it (see Col. 5, lines 66-67 and Col. 6, lines 1-2).

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding claims 6, 16 Hysteresis is not employed in Kelton et al. Patsiokas et al. employ the use of Hysteresis (see Col. 3, lines 55-62). It would have been obvious to employ Hysteresis as disclosed in Patsiokas et al. since it would help prevent rapid switching.

Regarding claims 7, 10, 17, 20 Kelton et al. disclose a RAKE receiver 112 (see Col. 3, lines 44-47).

Claim 2, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelton et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,926,503) in view of Patsiokas et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,430,769) and in further view of Anvari(U.S. Patent No. 5,461,646).

Regarding claim 2, 12 Kelton et al. and Patsiolas et al. do not disclose that only signals with high SNR should be selected. Anvari teaches to select signals with high SNR. It would have been obvious to optimize the signal selection by selecting only signals with high SNR, as disclosed by Anvari (see Col. 1, lines 62-67 and Col. 2, lines 1-2) since selecting only signals with high SNR would help the system receive the strongest signal from the signal source.

Claim 4, 5, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelton et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,926,503) in view of Patsiokas et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,430,769) and in further view of Lee(U.S. Patent No. 5,818,543).

Regarding claim 4, 14 Kelton et al. and Patsiokas et al. do not disclose the use of polarisation diversity. Lee discloses that in spatial diversity systems, two antennae are positioned apart with the intent that the reflected signals at the antenna locations will not cancel the desired signal at the same time. It would have been obvious to use polarisation diversity to differentiate

Art Unit: 2684

signals as disclosed by Lee(see Col. 1, lines 53-55) since such method would improve the receive system gain and to reduce the effect of fading.

Regarding claim 5, 15 Kelton et al. and Patsiokas et al. do not disclose both spatial and polarisation diversity. Lee teaches that in spatial diversity systems, two antennae are positioned apart with the intent that the reflected signals at the antenna locations will not cancel the desired signal at the same time. In polarity diversity systems, both horizontally and vertically polarized antennae are used with switching between the two for the better signal. It would have been obvious to use both spatial and polarisation diversity to differentiate signals as disclosed by Lee(see Col. 1, lines 53-58) since such technique would provide diversity gain.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Chang et al.

U.S. Patent No. 5,692,019.

- Schilling

U.S. Patent No. 5,926,502.

- Fuerter

U.S. Patent No. 6,125,109.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-3283. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 2684

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Hunter can be reached on 703-308-6732. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-6750.

HN July 24, 2002

Art Unit: 2684

Page 7